

Appl. No. 10/825,919  
Amdt. Dated March 29, 2010  
Reply to Office Action of September 28, 2009

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant has submitted this Request for Continued Examination so that the Examiner may consider the alternate claims as modified herein. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the prior art rejections set forth by the Examiner under 35 USC sections 102 and 103. Applicant respectfully submits that the prior art references of record, whether considered alone or in combination fail to either teach or suggest the subject matter of the present invention as now specified.

More specifically, Applicant has modified each of the independent claims to additionally require that: there is only a single opening in the electrolytic solution container that is sealed after the electrolytic solution has been injected into the electrolytic solution container. Applicant has discovered an improved battery manufacturing process wherein centrifugal force is used during injection of the electrolytic solution into the battery and only a single opening in the electrolytic solution container must be sealed after the electrolytic solution has been injected into the container.

Applicant respectfully submits that none of the prior art references of record teaches or suggests this advance in the art which advantageously reduces the manufacturing costs for batteries which incorporate this improved technology because there is only one opening in the battery that must be sealed after the electrolytic solution has been injected. Furthermore, the batteries using this technology have improved reliability over the devices of the prior art.

Appl. No. 10/825,919  
Amdt. Dated March 29, 2010  
Reply to Office Action of September 28, 2009


Accordingly, in light of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all claims now stand in condition for allowance. Applicant notes that neither Park nor any of the remaining references of record teach or suggest an electrolytic solution container having single opening in the electrolytic solution container that is sealed after the electrolytic solution has been injected into the electrolytic solution container.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees due or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3891.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

3/29/2010



(Reg. #37,607)

Robert J. Depke  
**ROCKEY, DEPKE, LYONS, LLC.**  
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 5450  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Tel: (312) 277-2006  
Attorney for Applicants